

The Dangers of Bag Valve Devices

a report by

William P Wiesmann, MD

Founder and President, BioSTAR Group

Utilizing a bag valve device to ventilate has been the standard of care in the pre-hospital environment for the last 50 years. However, because a bag valve device requires constant and steady manual pumping by the operator, it does not guarantee consistent air delivery. As a result, bag valve device resuscitation has been linked to a high incidence of hyperventilation, which adversely affects cardiac arrest patients, patients with traumatic brain injury, and patients in shock. Bag valve devices have also been linked to gastric insufflation. A new device that mitigates the potential for injury, reduces operator error, complies with guidelines, and improves the medical responder's ability to perform other critical tasks is urgently needed.

Ventilating a patient using a bag valve device requires significant concentration and skill in stressful situations. With every squeeze of the bag, the rescuer is selecting the respiratory rate and tidal volume. This can be extremely difficult for even the most highly trained professional: "Keeping artificial ventilation rates low is difficult because the high-adrenaline state of the rescuer alters time perception, and the rapidly refilling bag-ventilation systems set up a reflex in which rescuers are inclined to deliver breaths as soon as the bag inflates."

Cardiac Arrest

A clinical study observing ventilation rates in cardiac arrest patients found that emergency medical services (EMS) rescuers who were trained to follow the American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines were delivering on average 37 ± 4 breaths per minute, not the 10–12 breaths per minute prescribed by the guidelines. Even after the rescuers were re-trained to deliver 12 breaths per minute, they were observed delivering an average of 22 ± 3 breaths per minute.



William P Wiesmann, MD, is founder and President of the BioSTAR Group, a collective of companies specializing in life-saving advances in medical support equipment and technologies. In addition, he is the Senior Consultant/Medical Advisor for numerous research programs, including the Center for Innovative Minimally Invasive Therapy (CIMIT) at Massachusetts General Hospital, the Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory, and the DARPA's Revolutionizing Prosthetics Program.

In 2008, Dr. Wiesmann received an Honorary Doctor of Science from the University of Cincinnati for his lifetime achievements in medical research and multiple inventions that have improved medical care in trauma and emergency medicine. He completed a fellowship in renal diseases at the Department of Nephrology, Washington University School of Medicine in 1976. He received his MD from Washington University School of Medicine in 1972.

E: ww@biostargroup.com

To test the impact of hyperventilation, the same study investigated a swine model with ventilation delivered at either 12 or 30 breaths per minute. Six out of seven animals in the group that received 12 breaths per minute survived, while only one of seven that were hyperventilated survived: a 70% reduction in absolute survival. Hyperventilation results in high intrathoracic pressure during the decompression phase of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), which decreases cardiac pre-load and cardiac output and impedes right ventricular function. Increased tidal volume is also known to adversely affect cardiac output. The authors of the study believe that "the elevated mean intrathoracic pressures caused by excessive ventilation inhibited

Ventilating a patient using a bag valve device requires significant concentration and skill in stressful situations. "Keeping artificial ventilation rates low is difficult because the high adrenaline state of the rescuer alters time perception..."

venous blood flow back to the right heart, as there was insufficient time to allow for the development of negative intrathoracic pressure between compressions." Reduced pre-load results in lower stroke volume and, ultimately, decreased cardiac output

A separate study evaluating the respiration rates provided by critical-care trained physicians with more than 10 years of acute care experience, most of whom were basic life support (BLS) and advanced life support (ALS) instructors, demonstrated that the respiration target rate was achieved only 18% of the time for CPR patients even when performed in a hospital setting. These physicians on average delivered 33% more ventilations than the guidelines prescribed. These two studies highlight the difference in performance in a classroom and during actual cardiac arrest even among well-trained professionals and professionals who have been re-trained.

Traumatic Brain Injury

There are 1.6 million head injuries in the US every year, costing the American people an estimated \$100 billion annually. Sustaining a significant head injury as the result of trauma doubles the mortality rate, and two-thirds of survivors suffer permanent disability. Treatment options in the

pre-hospital environment are limited.⁷ However, it is important that the rescuer does not cause further injury by unduly elevating intracranial pressure (ICP) during positive-pressure ventilation. Positive-pressure ventilation increases intrathoracic pressure and ICP.⁸ Hyperventilating a patient or delivering excessive tidal volumes could be disastrous, as elevated ICP is a leading cause of secondary brain injury.

Hemorrhagic Shock

"Even after moderate levels of hemorrhage in animals, positive-pressure ventilation with normal or higher respiratory rates can impair hemodynamics."⁹ Some investigators have now begun to question "the notion that severity of injury is the lone factor leading to worse outcomes and, in turn, have raised the issue that overzealous ventilation may also be a contributory factor."¹⁰ Excessive ventilation raises the mean intrathoracic pressure, which impedes venous return and decreases pre-load, stroke volume, and, consequently, cardiac output.¹¹ During shock, the body's natural response is to recruit blood volume to the vital organs. Increased intrathoracic pressure associated with excessive positive-pressure ventilation impedes this process.

Gastric Insufflation

Gastric insufflation is a major concern when ventilating through an unprotected airway. A study measuring the differences between a bag valve device and a transport ventilator used with a mask found that "almost 10 times the amount of air was insufflated into the simulated stomach per breath when the subjects used a bag valve device."¹² Aggressive bagging allows air to enter the patient's stomach by creating airway pressures that exceed the pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter.¹⁴ Once air enters the stomach, it begins an adverse cycle in which it becomes more likely that air will continue to be pumped into the stomach, causing further stomach insufflation, reduced pulmonary compliance, and decreased lung ventilation.¹³ Gastric inflation can lead to regurgitation and aspiration.

The Hidden Cost of Bag Valve Devices

In addition to the many safety concerns of bag valve devices, bagging completely incapacitates a medical responder from addressing other injuries, performing compressions, attending to other patients, or

Excessive ventilation raises the mean intrathoracic pressure, which impedes venous return and decreases preload, stroke volume and cardiac output.

transporting the patient. Sending additional responders to the scene to address the possible need for respiratory support is not a cost-effective or realistic use of man-power. In mass casualty situations where responders have to triage the critically wounded, patients who need to be bagged are likely to be left to die. Equipment that mitigates the potential for injury, reduces operator error, complies with guidelines, and improves a medical responder's ability to perform other critical tasks is therefore critically needed.

The Merger of Ventilatory Capability

The majority of the EMS market relies on either bag valve devices or pneumatic ventilators. Although bag valve devices are simple instruments, skill and vigilance are essential, and even then consistent air delivery is not assured. Many of the pneumatic ventilators in use by EMS departments do not provide sensing capabilities or safety alarms. The devices that do provide

these features are often overly sophisticated and expensive for pre-hospital use. EMS providers usually rely on 50 psi tanks that are secured in the ambulance. Consequently, the patient is usually bagged from point of injury to the ambulance and then again when transported from the ambulance to the emergency department.¹

AutoMedx has developed an efficient, safe, and cost-effective alternative to bag valve devices and pneumatic ventilators that provides the safety, ease of use, and level of respiratory support required in the pre-hospital environment. The Simplified Automated Ventilator (SAVe) provides the

In mass casualty situations where responders have to triage the critically wounded patients who need to be bagged are likely to be left to die.

ideal adjunct between bag valve devices and expensive transport ventilators. It is a hybrid of both ends of the market. Like many of the high-end models, it is compressor-driven and has an array of sensing capabilities and safety alarms. However, it is easier to use, more compact, and less expensive than most low-end pneumatically driven models. The SAVe delivers an AHA-compliant tidal volume (600ml) at a fixed rate of 10 breaths per minute. The device can be used with either a mask or an airway. In a BLS setting, the device can be quickly set up en route or on the scene with a mask so that ventilations can be given immediately. The flow rate (16 liters per minute) and tidal volume are designed to minimize gastric inflation.

Equipment that mitigates the potential for injury, reduces operator error complies, with guidelines and improves a medical responder's ability to perform other critical tasks is critically needed.

Simplified Automated Ventilator

SAVe was originally designed for Special Forces medics for use at point of injury until the patient could be evacuated. It is rugged and highly portable, and contains a number of alarms and safety features not found in similarly priced devices. The SAVe delivers a single tidal volume and respiratory rate, which removes any guesswork and reduces operator error. It is a set-flow, time-cycled, pressure-limited (38cmH2O) device. It is intended to be used with a mask in a pre-hospital setting until a more secure airway is available.

The ventilator is completely self-contained, weighs only 3lb, and does not require a compressed gas source for power. Low-pressure supplemental oxygen can be used if desired. The SAVe utilizes a rechargeable battery-driven pump to deliver ambient air for 5.5 hours on a single battery charge. It may be recharged from a standard AC outlet. It is ideally suited for situations where the size, weight, portability, and extreme ease of use are a consideration. SAVe's small footprint (6.5x6.25x2.5 inches; 3.1lb) enables easy storage in a medical bag or on an ambulance. Field medics, mass casualty incident coordinators, and EMS professionals will find the device well suited to their needs. ■

1. Cooper JA, Cooper JD, Cooper JM, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation: History, Current Practice, and Future Direction, *Circulation*, 2006;114:2839–49.
2. Aufderheide TP, Sigurdsson G, Lurie KG et al., Hyperventilation-induced hypotension during cardiopulmonary resuscitation, *Circulation*, 2004;109:1960–65.
3. Cournand A, Motely HL, Werko L, et al., Physiological studies of effects of intermittent positive pressure breathing on cardiac output, *Am J Physiol*, 1948;152:162–74.
4. Cheiftz IM, Craig DM, Quick G, et al., Increasing tidal volumes and pulmonary over distention adversely affect pulmonary vascular mechanics and cardiac output in a pediatric swinemodel, *Crit Care Med*, 1998;26:710–16.
5. Theres H, Binkau J, Laule M, et al., Phase-related changes in right ventricular cardiac output under volume-controlled mechanical ventilation with positive end-expiratory pressure, *Crit Care Med*, 1999;27:953-8.
6. Losert H, Sterz F, Köhler K, et al., Quality of Cardio pulmonary Resuscitation Among Highly Trained Staff in an Emergency Department Setting, *Arch Intern Med*, 2006;166: 2375–80.
7. Thurman DJ, Alverson C, Dunn KA, et al., Traumatic brain injury in the United States: A public health perspective, *J Head Trauma Rehabil*, 1999;14(6):602–15.
8. Guerrero JL, Thurman DJ, Sniezek JE, Emergency department visits associated with traumatic brain injury: United States, 1995–1996, *Brain Inj*, 2000;14(2):181–6.
9. Cowley NJ, da Silva EJ, Prevention of secondary brain injury following Head Trauma, *Trauma*, 2008;10:35–42.
10. Yannopoulos D, Metzger A, McKnite S, et al. Intrathoracic pressure regulation improves vital organ perfusion pressures in normovolemic and hypovolemic pigs, *Resuscitation*, 2006;2934:1–9.
11. Management in hemorrhagic states: elemental or detrimental?, *J Trauma*, 2003;54:1048–57.
12. Eckstein M, Chad L, Schneir A, Palmer R, The effect of prehospital advanced life support on outcomes of major trauma patients, *J Trauma*, 2000;48:643–8.
13. Salas N, Wisor B, Agazio J, et al., Comparison of Ventilation and Cardiac Compressions When Utilizing the Impact Model 730 Automatic Transport Ventilator Versus a Conventional Bag Valve with a Facemask in a Model of Adult Cardiopulmonary Arrest, *Resuscitation*, 200;74(1):94–101.
14. Ruben H, Knudsen EJ, Carugati G, Gastric inflation in relation to airway pressure, *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*, 1961;5:107–14.